Simulating Aerosol Chemistry with Graph Neural Networks

Fabiana Ferracina, Laura Fierce, Mahantesh Halappanavar, Bala Krishnamoorthy

April 27, 2024





Ferracina et al.

Chem GNS

1/16

Why should we care about aerosol dynamics?

Why should we care about aerosol dynamics?

• Radioactive forcing

Why should we care about aerosol dynamics?

- Radioactive forcing
- Cloud formation

Why should we care about aerosol dynamics?

- Radioactive forcing
- Cloud formation
- Regional variations

2/16

Why should we care about aerosol dynamics?

- Radioactive forcing
- Cloud formation
- Regional variations
- Feedback mechanisms

Why use simulation?

Why use simulation?

• Aerosols are difficult to measure

3/16

Why use simulation?

- Aerosols are difficult to measure
- Study behavior and interactions for different scenarios

Why use simulation?

- Aerosols are difficult to measure
- Study behavior and interactions for different scenarios

What about existing simulators?

Why use simulation?

- Aerosols are difficult to measure
- Study behavior and interactions for different scenarios

What about existing simulators?

• MOSAIC: Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry

Why use simulation?

- Aerosols are difficult to measure
- Study behavior and interactions for different scenarios

What about existing simulators?

- MOSAIC: Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry
- Computational complexity associated with the detailed representations of aerosol

Why use simulation?

- Aerosols are difficult to measure
- Study behavior and interactions for different scenarios

What about existing simulators?

- MOSAIC: Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry
- Computational complexity associated with the detailed representations of aerosol
- Closed-source

Can we do better?

Maybe

Can we do better?

Maybe



Figure: Results from Chem GNS on a simple particle-gas system. 142 timesteps, 808 particles, 3 time changing features, 3 time fixed particle properties, 2 chemical types. MSE $\approx 8.126 \times 10^{-7}$ in rate of change rate. Time to predict ≈ 0.4 seconds

• Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns

- Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns
- Multi-dimensional time-changing features

- Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns
- Multi-dimensional time-changing features
- Multi-dimensional node properties

- Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns
- Multi-dimensional time-changing features
- Multi-dimensional node properties
- Alternative activation functions

- Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns
- Multi-dimensional time-changing features
- Multi-dimensional node properties
- Alternative activation functions
- Prediction pipeline

- Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns
- Multi-dimensional time-changing features
- Multi-dimensional node properties
- Alternative activation functions
- Prediction pipeline
- Data transformation pipeline

- Inspired by Kumar and Vantassel 2022's Pytorch GNS: https://www.geoelements.org/gns
- Multi-dimensional time-changing features
- Multi-dimensional node properties
- Alternative activation functions
- Prediction pipeline
- Data transformation pipeline
- Output analysis pipeline

5/16

Graph Neural Networks (Scarselli et al. 2008)



Figure: A single layer of a simple GNN. A graph is the input, and each component (V,E,U) gets updated by a MLP to produce a new graph. Each function subscript indicates a separate function for a different graph attribute at the n-th layer of a GNN model. *source*: https://distill.pub/2021/gnn-intro/

GNN Schematics



Graph Network Simulator (GNS) by Sanchez-Gonzalez et al. 2020



Figure: d_{θ} uses an "encode-process-decode" scheme, which computes dynamics information, *Y*, from input state, *X*

Chem GNS



Figure: Uses an "encode-process-decode" scheme, which computes dynamics information, Y, from input state, X

Results from GNS Simulations: Water



Figure: Results from Chem GNS on a simple particle-gas system. 142 timesteps, 808 particles, 3 time changing features, 3 time fixed particle properties, 2 chemical types. NMAE \approx 0.004. Time to predict \approx 0.4 seconds

Results from GNS Simulations: Sulfate



Figure: Results from Chem GNS on a simple particle-gas system. 142 timesteps, 808 particles, 3 time changing features, 3 time fixed particle properties, 2 chemical types. NMAE ≈ 0.007 . Time to predict ≈ 0.4 seconds

Results from GNS Simulations: Sulfuric Acid



Figure: Results from Chem GNS on a simple particle-gas system. 142 timesteps, 808 particles, 3 time changing features, 3 time fixed particle properties, 2 chemical types. NMAE \approx 0.011. Time to predict \approx 0.4 seconds

Training on Different Examples



Figure: Results from Chem GNS trained on 9 different simple particle-gas systems. 142 timesteps, 1132 particles, 3 time changing features, 3 time fixed particle properties, 2 chemical types. MSE \approx 0.03 in rate of change rate. Time to predict \approx 0.03 seconds

• Improve accuracy in high dimension non-linear space - may require more careful selection of functions

Future Directions

- Improve accuracy in high dimension non-linear space may require more careful selection of functions
- Particle-particle interaction

Future Directions

- Improve accuracy in high dimension non-linear space may require more careful selection of functions
- Particle-particle interaction
- Global nodes with environmental information

Conclusion

• Originally proposed for physics applications, GNS can work in chemical domain

Conclusion

- Originally proposed for physics applications, GNS can work in chemical domain
- Making it bigger and better will require thinking outside Euclidean space

Conclusion

- Originally proposed for physics applications, GNS can work in chemical domain
- Making it bigger and better will require thinking outside Euclidean space
- Inclusion in climate models could be significant, if fast speeds can be maintained

References

- Kumar, Krishna and Joseph Vantassel (2022). "GNS: A generalizable Graph Neural Network-based simulator for particulate and fluid modeling". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.10228*.
- Sanchez-Gonzalez, Alvaro et al. (2020). "Learning to simulate complex physics with graph networks". In: International conference on machine learning. PMLR, pp. 8459–8468.
- Scarselli, Franco et al. (2008). "The graph neural network model". In: *IEEE transactions on neural networks* 20.1, pp. 61–80.